# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

ORDER NO. 97-102

SITE CLEANUP REQUIREMENTS FOR:

GWAN-KYUN PARK & MEYNG-SUK PARK dba PEGASUS DRY CLEANERS 34257 FREMONT BOULEVARD FREMONT, CA 94555

AND

MS. HSE-CHI PENG 4041 LOWRY ROAD FREMONT, CA 94536

for the property located at

34257 FREMONT BOULEVARD FREMONT ALAMEDA COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (hereinafter Board), finds that:

- 1. **Site Location**: The site is located at 34257 Fremont Boulevard, Fremont, Alameda County. It is within a shopping mall, and is currently occupied by Pegasus Dry Cleaners (Pegasus). Beyond the southern boundary of the site is a multi-story apartment. A floral shop and a restaurant are located on the west and east sides, respectively, of the site. Highway 880 is approximately 1,400 feet to the east of the site. Alameda Creek is approximately 3,800 feet to the northwest of the site.
- 2. **Site History**: Pegasus is one of the tenants at the shopping mall. Prior to the shopping mall being developed in 1986, it was an auto-theater in 1980s and, before that, it was part of a large farmland area. Pegasus was, and continues to be, a dry cleaning business using Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) since its inception in 1986. Gwan-Kyun Park and Meyng-Suk Park (collectively named as Park) acquired Pegasus from Mr. Oleg Gurtovoy in 1987, and continued to operate the dry cleaning business to the present.

3. **Named Dischargers**: Pegasus Dry Cleaners and its owner/operator, Gwan-Kyun Park and Meyng-Suk Park are named as the primary dischargers as the dry cleaners and its owner/operator have caused PCE to be released or discharged to groundwater during the operation of the dry cleaning business. Hse-chi Peng is named as the secondary discharger as she has been, and continues to be, the property owner since the inception of the dry cleaning business at the site. Staff have been unable to locate the previous owner (Oleg Gurtovoy) of Pegasus Dry Cleaners at this time.

If additional information is submitted indicating that other parties caused or permitted any waste to be discharged on the site where it entered or could have entered waters of the state, the Board will consider adding that party's name to this order.

- 4. **Regulatory Status**: This site is currently not subject to Board order.
- 5. **Site Hydrogeology**: Soil below the site consists of silt clay (5 feet to 8 feet thick) underlain by a layer of clayey sand down to 15 feet below ground surface. Despite the regional westerly flow, the groundwater flow direction may be locally influenced by the nearby Alameda Creek, which runs on the east, north, and west sides of the site at approximately 4,000 feet from the site.
- 6. **Remedial Investigation**: The property owner has performed two phases of subsurface investigations which included drilling eight soil borings and collecting soil and groundwater samples in the vicinity of the dry cleaning machines for chemical analysis. Up to 2,000 mg/kg of PCE were detected in subsurface soil. Although concentrations of PCE decreases at deeper soil intervals, PCE at concentrations ranging from 85 ppb to 84,000 ppb were detected in groundwater below the site. The lateral and vertical extent of the PCE pollution have not been defined.

Board staff inspected the site during the Phase II site investigation in May 1997. PCE was observed to be used in the dry cleaner. Neither the machine nor the PCE storage drums were provided with secondary containment. Strong odor of volatile organic chemicals was noticed in the vicinity of the dry cleaning machine.

Considering the elevated levels of PCE in soil and groundwater, additional site characterization is required.

- 7. **Interim Remedial Measures**: No interim remedial measures has been implemented at the site since the discovery of the soil and groundwater pollution. In light of the serious subsurface pollution below the dry cleaning facility, interim remedial measures need to be implemented at this site to reduce the threat to water quality, public health, and the environment posed by the discharge of waste and to provide a technical basis for selecting and designing final remedial measures.
- 8. Adjacent Sites: No other site with similar pollution type has been identified within the

shopping mall or across Fremont Boulevard.

9. **Basin Plan**: The Board adopted a revised Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin (Basin Plan) on June 21, 1995. This updated and consolidated plan represents the Board's master water quality control planning document. The revised Basin Plan was approved by the State Water Resources Control Board and the Office of Administrative Law on July 20, 1995, and November 13, 1995, respectively. A summary of regulatory provisions is contained in 23 CCR 3912. The Basin Plan defines beneficial uses and water quality objectives for waters of the State, including surface waters and groundwaters.

The potential beneficial uses of groundwater underlying and adjacent to the site include:

- a. Municipal and domestic water supply
- b. Industrial process water supply
- c. Industrial service water supply
- d. Agricultural water supply
- e. Freshwater replenishment to surface waters

At present, Alameda County Water District is using groundwater in the deeper aquifers underlying the site for drinking water supply.

The existing and potential beneficial uses of Alameda Creek include:

- a. Groundwater recharge
- b. Water contact and non-contact recreation
- c. Wildlife habitat
- d. Freshwater habitat
- e. Agricultural supply
- f. Fish migration and spawning
- 10. **Other Board Policies**: Board Resolution No. 88-160 allows discharges of extracted, treated groundwater from site cleanups to surface waters only if it has been demonstrated that neither reclamation nor discharge to the sanitary sewer is technically and economically feasible.

Board Resolution No. 89-39, "Sources of Drinking Water," defines potential sources of drinking water to include all groundwater in the region, with limited exceptions for areas of high TDS, low yield, or naturally-high contaminant levels.

11. **State Water Board Policies**: State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, "Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California," applies to this discharge and requires attainment of background levels of water quality, or the highest level of water quality which is reasonable if background levels of water quality cannot be

restored. Cleanup levels other than background must be consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State, not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses of such water, and not result in exceedance of applicable water quality objectives.

State Water Board Resolution No. 92-49, "Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges Under Water Code Section 13304," applies to this discharge. This order and its requirements are consistent with the provisions of Resolution No. 92-49, as amended.

- 12. **Preliminary Cleanup Goals**: The discharger will need to make assumptions about future cleanup standards for soil and groundwater, in order to determine the necessary extent of remedial investigation, interim remedial actions, and the draft cleanup plan. Pending the establishment of site-specific cleanup standards, the following preliminary cleanup goals should be used for these purposes:
  - a. Groundwater: Applicable water quality objectives (e.g. maximum contaminant levels, or MCLs) or, in the absence of a chemical-specific objective, risk-based levels (e.g. drinking water equivalent levels).
  - b. Soil: 1 mg/kg total volatile organic compounds (VOCs), 10 mg/kg total semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), and background concentrations of metals.
- 13. **Basis for 13304 Order**: The discharger has caused or permitted waste to be discharged or deposited where it is or probably will be discharged into waters of the State and creates or threatens to create a condition of pollution or nuisance.
- 14. **Cost Recovery**: Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13304, the discharger is hereby notified that the Board is entitled to, and may seek reimbursement for, all reasonable costs actually incurred by the Board to investigate unauthorized discharges of waste and to oversee cleanup of such waste, abatement of the effects thereof, or other remedial action, required by this order.
- 15. **CEQA**: This action is an order to enforce the laws and regulations administered by the Board. As such, this action is categorically exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15321 of the Resources Agency Guidelines.
- 16. **Notification**: The Board has notified the discharger and all interested agencies and persons of its intent under California Water Code Section 13304 to prescribe site cleanup requirements for the discharge, and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments.
- 17. **Public Hearing**: The Board, at a public meeting, heard and considered all comments

pertaining to this discharge.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to Section 13304 of the California Water Code, that the discharger (or its agents, successors, or assigns) shall cleanup and abate the effects described in the above findings as follows:

# A. PROHIBITIONS

- 1. The discharge of wastes or hazardous substances in a manner which will degrade water quality or adversely affect beneficial uses of waters of the State is prohibited.
- 2. Further significant migration of wastes or hazardous substances through subsurface transport to waters of the State is prohibited.
- 3. Activities associated with the subsurface investigation and cleanup which will cause significant adverse migration of wastes or hazardous substances are prohibited.

#### B. TASKS

#### 1. REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORKPLAN

COMPLIANCE DATE: November 17, 1997

Submit a workplan acceptable to the Executive Officer to (i) define the vertical and lateral extent of soil and groundwater pollution, and (ii) evaluate the potential conduits for migration of the pollution. The workplan should specify investigation methods and a proposed time schedule. Work may be phased to allow the investigation to proceed efficiently.

### 2. COMPLETION OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION

COMPLIANCE DATE: January 16, 1998

Submit a technical report acceptable to the Executive Officer documenting completion of necessary tasks identified in the Task 1 workplan. The technical report should (i) define the vertical and lateral extent of pollution down to concentrations at or below typical cleanup standards for soil and groundwater, and (ii) conclude whether or not potential conduits exist below the site. Should migration paths be identified during site investigations, alternatives should be included in the report to remove or seal off those paths which are amenable for

such closing/sealing actions.

If the remedial investigation is subdivided into phases - e.g. on-site soil, on-site groundwater, off-site groundwater - each phase shall have workplan followed by a technical report.

# 3. INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION WORKPLAN

COMPLIANCE DATE: March 31, 1998

Submit a workplan acceptable to the Executive Officer to evaluate interim remedial action alternatives and to recommend one or more alternatives for implementation. The workplan should specify a proposed time schedule. Work may be phased to allow the investigation to proceed efficiently. If groundwater extraction is selected as an interim remedial action, then one task will be the completion of an NPDES permit application for discharge of extracted, treated groundwater to waters of the State. The application must demonstrate that neither reclamation nor discharge to the sanitary sewer is technically or economically feasible.

# 4 COMPLETION OF INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTIONS

COMPLIANCE DATE: June 30, 1998

Submit a technical report acceptable to the Executive Officer documenting completion of necessary tasks identified in the Task 3 workplan. For ongoing actions, such as soil vapor extraction or groundwater extraction, the report should document start-up as opposed to completion.

Should the interim remedial action consists of different phases of work, e.g. onsite soil, on-site groundwater, and off-site groundwater, each phase shall have a workplan followed by a technical report.

# 5. PROPOSED FINAL REMEDIAL ACTIONS AND CLEANUP STANDARDS

COMPLIANCE DATE: December 15, 1998

Submit a technical report acceptable to the Executive Officer containing:

- a. Results of the remedial investigation
- b. Evaluation of the installed interim remedial actions
- c. Feasibility study evaluating alternative final remedial actions
- d. Risk assessment for current and post-cleanup exposures
- e. Recommended final remedial actions and cleanup standards

f. Implementation tasks and time schedule

Item c should include projections of cost, effectiveness, benefits, and impact on public health, welfare, and the environment of each alternative action.

Items a through c should be consistent with the guidance provided by Subpart F of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (40 CFR Part 300), CERCLA guidance documents with respect to remedial investigations and feasibility studies, Health and Safety Code Section 25356.1(c), and State Board Resolution No. 92-49 as amended ("Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges Under Water Code Section 13304").

Items a through e should consider the preliminary cleanup goals for soil and groundwater identified in finding 12.

# C. PROVISIONS

- 1. **No Nuisance**: The storage, handling, treatment, or disposal of polluted soil or groundwater shall not create a nuisance as defined in California Water Code Section 13050(m).
- 2. Good Operation and Maintenance (O&M): The discharger shall maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible any facility or control system installed to achieve compliance with the requirements of this Order.
- 3. Cost Recovery: The discharger shall be liable, pursuant to California Water Code Section 13304, to the Board for all reasonable costs actually incurred by the Board to investigate unauthorized discharges of waste and to oversee cleanup of such waste, abatement of the effects thereof, or other remedial action, required by this Order. If the site addressed by this Order is enrolled in a State Board-managed reimbursement program, reimbursement shall be made pursuant to this Order and according to the procedures established in that program. Any disputes raised by the discharger over reimbursement amounts or methods used in that program shall be consistent with the dispute resolution procedures for that program.
- 4. **Access to Site and Records**: In accordance with California Water Code Section 13267(c), the discharger shall permit the Board or its authorized representative:
  - a. Entry upon premises in which any pollution source exists, or may potentially exist, or in which any required records are kept, which are relevant to this Order.

- b. Access to copy any records required to be kept under the requirements of this Order.
- c. Inspection of any monitoring or remediation facilities installed in response to this Order.
- d. Sampling of any groundwater or soil which is accessible, or may become accessible, as part of any investigation or remedial action program undertaken by the discharger.
- 5. **Self-Monitoring Program**: The discharger shall comply with the Self-Monitoring Program as attached to this Order and as may be amended by the Executive Officer.
- 6. **Contractor / Consultant Qualifications**: All technical documents shall be signed by and stamped with the seal of a California registered geologist, a California certified engineering geologist, or a California registered civil engineer.
- 7. **Lab Qualifications**: All samples shall be analyzed by State-certified laboratories or laboratories accepted by the Board using approved EPA methods for the type of analysis to be performed. All laboratories shall maintain quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) records for Board review. This provision does not apply to analyses that can only reasonably be performed on-site (e.g. temperature).
- 8. **Document Distribution**: Copies of all correspondence, technical reports, and other documents pertaining to compliance with this Order shall be provided to the following agencies:
  - a. City of Fremont, Fire Department
  - b. Alameda County Environmental Health Department
  - c. Alameda County Water District

The Executive Officer may modify this distribution list as needed.

- 9. **Reporting of Changed Owner or Operator**: The discharger shall file a technical report on any changes in site occupancy or ownership associated with the property described in this Order.
- 10. **Reporting of Hazardous Substance Release**: If any hazardous substance is discharged in or on any waters of the State, or discharged or deposited where it is, or probably will be, discharged in or on any waters of the State, the discharger shall report such discharge to the Regional Board by calling (510) 286-1255 during regular office hours (Monday through Friday, 8:00 to 5:00).

A written report shall be filed with the Board within five working days. The report shall describe: the nature of the hazardous substance, estimated quantity involved, duration of incident, cause of release, estimated size of affected area, nature of effect, corrective actions taken or planned, schedule of corrective actions planned, and persons/agencies notified.

This reporting is in addition to reporting to the Office of Emergency Services required pursuant to the Health and Safety Code.

- 11. **Delayed Compliance**: If the discharger is delayed, interrupted, or prevented from meeting one or more of the completion dates specified for the above tasks, the discharger shall promptly notify the Executive Officer and the Board may consider revision to this Order.
- 12. **Secondarily Dischargers**: Within 60 days after being notified by the Executive Officer that the other named dischargers have failed to comply with this Order, Hse-Chi Peng, as the property owner shall then be responsible for complying with this Order.
- 13. **Periodic SCR Review**: The Board will review this Order periodically and may revise it when necessary. The discharger may request revisions and upon review the Executive Officer may recommend that the Board revise these requirements.

I, Loretta K. Barsamian, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, on <u>August 20, 1997</u>.

Loretta K. Barsamian Executive Officer

FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS ORDER MAY SUBJECT YOU TO ENFORCEMENT ACTION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: IMPOSITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY UNDER WATER CODE SECTIONS 13268 OR 13350, OR REFERRAL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF OR CIVIL OR CRIMINAL LIABILITY

Attachments: Site Map

Self-Monitoring Program

# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

# SELF-MONITORING PROGRAM FOR:

GWAN-KYUN PARK & MEYNG-SUK PARK dba PEGASUS DRY CLEANERS 34257 FREMONT BOULEVARD FREMONT, CA 94555

**AND** 

MS. HSE-CHI PENG 4041 LOWRY ROAD FREMONT, CA 94536

for the property located at

34257 FREMONT BOULEVARD FREMONT ALAMEDA COUNTY

- 1. **Authority and Purpose**: The Board requests the technical reports required in this Self-Monitoring Program pursuant to Water Code Sections 13267 and 13304. This self-Monitoring Program is intended to document compliance with Board Order No. <u>97-102</u> (site cleanup requirements).
- 2. **Monitoring**: The discharger shall measure groundwater elevations in all monitoring wells, and shall collect and analyze representative samples of groundwater quarterly: The discharger may propose changes to the quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling program. Any proposed changes are subject to Executive Officer approval.
- 3. Quarterly Monitoring Reports: The discharger shall submit quarterly monitoring reports to the Board no later than 30 days following the end of the quarter (e.g. report for first quarter of the year due April 30). The first quarterly monitoring report shall be due on January 30, 1998. The reports shall include:
  - a. Transmittal Letter: The transmittal letter shall discuss any violations during the reporting period and actions taken or planned to correct the problem. The letter shall be signed by the discharger's principal executive officer or his/her duly authorized representative, and shall include a statement by the official, under penalty of perjury, that the report is true and correct to the best of the official's

knowledge.

- b. Groundwater Elevations: Groundwater elevation data shall be presented in tabular form, and a groundwater elevation map should be prepared for each monitored water-bearing zone. Historical groundwater elevations shall be included in the fourth quarterly report each year.
- c. Groundwater Analyses: Groundwater sampling data shall be presented in tabular form, and an isoconcentration map should be prepared for one or more key contaminants for each monitored water-bearing zone, as appropriate. The report shall indicate the analytical method used, detection limits obtained for each reported constituent, and a summary of QA/QC data. Historical groundwater sampling results shall be included in the fourth quarterly report each year. The report shall describe any significant increases in contaminant concentrations since the last report, and any measures proposed to address the increases. Supporting data, such as lab data sheets, need not be included (however, see record keeping below).
- d. Groundwater Extraction: If applicable, the report shall include groundwater extraction results in tabular form, for each extraction well and for the site as a whole, expressed in gallons per minute and total groundwater volume for the quarter. The report shall also include contaminant removal results, from groundwater extraction wells and from other remediation systems (e.g. soil vapor extraction), expressed in units of chemical mass per day and mass for the quarter. Historical mass removal results shall be included in the fourth quarterly report each year.
- e. Status Report: The quarterly report shall describe relevant work completed during the reporting period (e.g. site investigation, interim remedial measures) and work planned for the following quarter.
- 4. **Violation Reports**: If the discharger violates requirements in the Site Cleanup Requirements, then the discharger shall notify the Board office by telephone as soon as practicable once the discharger has knowledge of the violation. Board staff may, depending on violation severity, require the discharger to submit a separate technical report on the violation within five working days of telephone notification.
- 5. **Other Reports**: The discharger shall notify the Board in writing prior to any site activities, such as construction or underground tank removal, which have the potential to cause further migration of contaminants or which would provide new opportunities for site investigation.
- 6. **Record Keeping**: The discharger or his/her agent shall retain data generated for the above reports, including lab results and QA/QC data, for a minimum of six (6) years after

origination and shall make them available to the Board upon request.

- 7. **SMP Revisions**: Revisions to the Self-Monitoring Program may be ordered by the Executive Officer, either on his/her own initiative or at the request of the discharger. Prior to making SMP revisions, the Executive Officer will consider the burden, including costs, of associated self-monitoring reports relative to the benefits to be obtained from these reports.
- I, Loretta K. Barsamian, Executive Officer, hereby certify that this Self-Monitoring Program was adopted by the Board on August 20, 1997.

Loretta K. Barsamiar Executive Officer